UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,138	08/22/2006	Sebastian Obermanns	2003P16866	5733
24131 7590 03/27/2009 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 2480		LEBASSI, AMANUEL		
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,138	OBERMANNS, SEBASTIAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	AMANUEL LEBASSI	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 / 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 10-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or control of the specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration. or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/590,138 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Larsson et al. US 20040266339 in view of Panwar et al. US 20060077942.

Regarding claim 10, Larsson discloses a method for packet-switched data transmission (abstract; paragraph [0064] and [0081], transmission of data) in a self- organizing radio network with at least a first and a second radio coverage area, and at least one mobile communication device for each radio coverage area (paragraph [0064] and Fig. 5, with first and second coverage areas CA1 centered at TX 210 and CA 2 centered at Receiver 220 respectively and an intermediate device Relay 215). Larsson discloses operating a first device in the first radio coverage area (Fig. 5, TX 210 on CA1) and a second device in the second radio coverage area (Fig. 5, RX 220 on CA2), for centrally controlling an assignment of transmission channels assigned to the respective radio coverage area (Fig. 5 where Relay unit 215 can act both as a subscriber unit and as base station at the same time). Banniza discloses operating in each of the first and second radio coverage areas mobile communication devices forming

Application/Control Number: 10/590,138 Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

intermediate stations for forwarding to the second radio coverage area data originating from the first radio coverage area (paragraph [0064] - Fig. 5 where Relay unit 215 can act both as a subscriber unit and as base station at the same time). However Banniza is silent on disclosing thereby operating the first central control device to control the transmission channels available to the first radio coverage area, both for transmitting data between the first central control device and the intermediate station and for transmitting data between the intermediate station and the second central control device.

Panwar teaches thereby operating the first central control device to control the transmission channels available to the first radio coverage area, both for transmitting data between the first central control device and the intermediate station and for transmitting data between the intermediate station and the second central control device (paragraph [0042] where each station sends the data packets to a destination station via an intermediate station).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the inventions of Banniza and add thereby operating the first central control device to control the transmission channels available to the first radio coverage area, both for transmitting data between the first central control device and the intermediate station and for transmitting data between the intermediate station and the second central control device. The motivation would be in order to transmit a data packet first to an intermediate station, and then to the destination station (paragraph [0022]).

Regarding claim 11, Larsson discloses transmitting control data appended in the transmission with the first central control device on a separate transmission channel (paragraph [0044]).

Regarding claim 12, Panwar teaches the separate transmission channel is an FCH channel (paragraph [0049]).

Regarding claim 13, Panwar teaches if the FCH channel cannot be received by the second central control device, appending with the intermediate station control data for the second central control device to the data to be forwarded (see Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 14, Panwar teaches adding to the control data at least one of an address of the second central control device and a format of the data to be forwarded (see Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 15, Larsson discloses analyzing the control data in the intermediate station (paragraph [0064]).

Regarding claim 16, Larsson discloses analyzing the control data in the second central control device (paragraph [0021]).

Regarding claim 17, Panwar discloses operating the radio network using central medium access control in accordance with a standard selected from the group consisting of IEEE 802.11 standard, IEEE 802.16, Hiperlan/2, and a standard derived therefrom (paragraph [0041]).

Regarding claim 18, Panwar discloses an intermediate station configured for carrying out the method (paragraph [0056]); Fig. 6, Unit 510).

Regarding claim 19, Panwar discloses a central control device configured for carrying out the method (paragraph [0056]); Fig. 6, Unit 510).

Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Amanuel Lebassi, whose telephone number is (571) 270-5303. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached at (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,138 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Amanuel Lebassi /A. L./ 03242009

/NICK CORSARO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617